



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS,
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,415	07/06/2000	John Barthelow Classen	CLASSEN=1C	6755

7590 02/05/2002

Browdy and Neimark PLLC
624 Ninth Street NW
Washington, DC 20001

EXAMINER

PARK, HANKYEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1648

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2002

#5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/611,415

Applicant(s)

CLASSEN, J.B.

Examiner
Hankyel Park, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit
1648



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hankyel Park, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Iver Cooper

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 3, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-58

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Agreement was reached that case is allowable if claims 48-50 are cancelled and parenthesis in 1 and 30 changed to commas. Examiner also indicated that proposed broadening amendments to claims 1 and 30 (1) changing "is identified" to "may be identified," and moving (2) "one year" limitation on comparison (b), and (3) pertussis/BCG limitation, to dependent claims, would be acceptable if counsel repeated on the record the justifications given in the telephonic interview.

Applicant will file a terminal disclaimer over the two prior patents in this family to obviate possible obviousness-type

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

HANKYEL PARK, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1648